

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/151,670	09/11/1998	STEVEN B. KAUFMAN	KAUFMAN-9-7	8185
7	590 10/10/2002			
FARKAS AND MANELLI			EXAMINER	
2000 M STREET NW 7TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 200363307			HAROLD, Л	EFFEREY F
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2644	
DATE MAILED			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/151,670	KAUFMAN ET AL.			
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jefferey F. Harold	2644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2002 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI edate on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	ng date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the added limitation of being portable for transportation between common telephones raises a new issue that was not previously examined.

FORESTER W. ISEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500